Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/829,529	HOYTE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RYAN A. JARRETT	2121
All Participants:	Status of Application: 71	
(1) <u>RYAN A. JARRETT</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Reeser.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 November 2009	Time: <u>4:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Potential 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claim 1		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Ryan A. Jarrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/829,529

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that claim 1 would have to be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 unless the two parties could agree on a suitable amendment. This is because method claim 1 did not include any significant, explicit recitation of a machine in the claim. Applicant agreed to let Examiner amend claim 1 to recite that the "determining" step is done using a computer in order to avert a 101 rejection. Applicant also agreed to let Examiner cancel the claims non-elected with traverse so that the case could pass to issue.